

April 21, 2009

The County Board of Equalization convened at 8:30 a.m. on April 21, 2009 with David Gillespie, Dennis Weeldreyer, Jason Melcher and Dale Long present. Jim Schmidt was absent. The Auditor was Clerk of the Board.

The meeting was called to order with the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Melcher to approve the minutes of the April 14, 2009 County Board of Equalization. Motion carried.

The Board met as a Consolidated Board of Equalization with the City of Canton for an appeal on parcel no. 200.32.03.005 located in the City of Canton. Elizabeth Bowling, Canton City Commissioner, was present for the appeal. No one from the Canton School District was present.

Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie to lower the assessment on parcel no. 200.32.03.005 on the NAD10 property classification to \$152,710. Motion carried.

Elizabeth Bowling left the meeting after the appeal on the parcel located in the City of Canton.

Appeals were presented for properties located in the Harrisburg School District and Lennox School District. No representative was present from these school districts.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.18.F500. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Melcher that the assessment be left the same at AGA \$6,655. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.18.F600. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Melcher that the assessment be left the same at AGA \$46,767. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.005A. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Weeldreyer that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.005B. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Melcher that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.006A. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.006B. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Weeldreyer that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.97.07.007. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Melcher that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,650 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$148,500. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.97.07.008. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,650 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$148,500. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.003A. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Weeldreyer that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.003B. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.004A. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.004B. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.007A. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Weeldreyer that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.87.01.007B. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the NAD property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$23,100 and the NAD1 property classification be lowered to \$105,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 250.15.00.B003. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the NADC property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$35,000 and the NADC2 property classification be lowered to \$1,195,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 250.26.03.006. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher that the NADC property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$34,540 and the NADC2 property classification be lowered to \$255,642. Motion carried.

Appeals for properties located in the Sioux Falls School District were presented. Deana Barth from the Sioux Falls School District was present.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 281.13.00.003. Motion by Gillespie that the NADC property classification assessment remain the same at \$378,313 and that the NADC2 property classification be lowered to \$558,000. Motion died for lack of a second. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the NADC property classification assessment remain the same at \$378,313 and the NADC2 property classification be lowered to \$600,000. Commissioners Barth, Melcher, Long and Gillespie voted "Aye". Commissioner Weeldreyer voted "Nay". Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.48.05.002. Motion by Barth and seconded by Weeldreyer that the NADO property classification assessment on the parcel remain the same at \$75,323 and the NAD10 property classification assessment remain the same at \$816,590. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 281.51.00.100. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the property classification be changed from NAD to AGD and that the assessed value be lowered from \$72,268 to \$4,115. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.27.00A02E. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the NADC property classification assessment on the parcel be lowered to \$291,720 and the NADC2 property classification remain the same at \$1,260,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 281.18.00.007A. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that NADC property classification assessment on the parcel be lowered to \$212,258 and the NADC2 property classification remain the same at \$590,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 281.18.00.08AD. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Weeldreyer that NADC property classification assessment on the parcel be lowered to \$92,005 and the NADC2 property classification remain the same at \$232,000. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 281.18.008AA. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that NADC property classification assessment on the parcel be lowered to \$72,148 and the NADC2 property classification remain the same at \$258,000. Commissioners Barth, Melcher and Gillespie voted "Aye" and Commissioners Long and Weeldreyer voted "Nay". Motion carried.

Deana Barth left the meeting.

Appeals were presented for properties in the Tea Area School District. No representative was present from the School District.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.4010. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the assessment remain the same at \$64,218. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.D170. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the assessment remain the same at \$63,695. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.D180. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher that the assessment remain the same at \$63,513. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.D190. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Weeldreyer that the assessment remain the same at \$63,513. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.D200. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Weeldreyer that the assessment remain the same at \$63,513. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.250. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the assessment remain the same at \$65,129. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.260. Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie that the assessment remain the same at \$64,832. Motion carried.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 100.50.64.270. Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie that the assessment remain the same at \$64,832. Motion carried.

An additional appeal for property located in the Harrisburg School District was presented. No representative from the Harrisburg School District was present.

An appeal was presented on parcel no. 280.33.08.004A. Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher that the assessment on property classification NADC remain the same at \$311,220 and the assessment on property classification NADC2 be lowered to \$450,000. Motion carried.

Don Burma presented two parcels that were assessor errors that needed to be corrected.

Motion by Melcher and seconded by Gillespie to approve the correction on parcel no. 096.50.20.4041 changing the property classifications of NACO to AGC, NAC110 to NAC10 and NAC11 to AGA1. Motion carried.

Motion by Weeldreyer and seconded by Gillespie to approve the correction on parcel no. 100.49.54.B100 changing the property classification of NAD to NADO. Motion carried.

An appeal on parcel no. 097.48.22.3030 was received past the dead line for appeals. No action was taken.

Motion by Gillespie and seconded by Melcher to adjourn as the Board of Equalization. Motion carried.

Dale Long, Chairman

ATTEST: _____
Paula Feucht
County Auditor